

Remarks/Arguments:

The applicant would like to thank the examiner for the telephonic interview on June 11, 2008, in which the draft claim 1 was discussed.

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Final Office Action mailed May 13, 2008.

Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunter (Java™ Servlet Programming, Copyright (c) 2001, 1998 O'Reilly & Associates, Inc.).

Claims 1, 16, 30 and 45 have been amended to add the feature that “an additional control is dynamically added to the control tree at a stage before the pre-render lifecycle stage and wherein when the additional control is added to the control tree dynamically, a lifecycle catch-up process drives the additional control through lifecycle stages until the additional control catches-up to other controls of the control tree”. This feature is supported by paragraph [0043] of the published application.

This feature is not shown or made obvious by the cited prior art.

For this reason, the independent claims are believed to be allowable. The dependent claims are believed to be allowable because they are dependent on the independent claims and because of the additional limitations of these claims.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 19, 2008

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800